

**MCHSCoC 2023 CoC Program Application Type: CURRENT CoC Program RECIPIENT (not a new applicant)**

Applicant Name		Points Possible	Points Awarded
Applicant Experience	Length of experience implementing the proposed project activities or activity similar to the proposed project (2 points per year)	10	
Program Design	Quality of the proposed program in delivering activities to participants is based on the following items: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Housing First = 3 points</li> <li>• Reasonableness of program staffing = 4 points</li> <li>• Budget relative to program design = 4 points</li> <li>• Type of services offered (ES/SO 1 pt, HP/SSO = 2 pts, RRH/PSH = 3 pts)</li> <li>• Connection to mainstream resources = 3 points</li> <li>• Collaboration with community partners = 3 points</li> </ul>	20	
System Performance Measures	Projects will be evaluated based on performance as reported in the Prior Year HUD Sage System Annual Performance Report <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Average Length of Time Participants Remain Homeless                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 0 – 30 Days = 3 points</li> <li>• 31 – 90 Days = 2 points</li> <li>• 91 – 120 Days = 1 point</li> <li>• 121 Days or more = 0 points</li> </ul> </li> <li>2. The extent to which participants who exit homelessness to Permanent Housing Destinations return to homelessness within 12 months:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 0% – 5% = 3 points</li> <li>• 6% – 15% = 2 points</li> <li>• 16% – 25% 1 point</li> <li>• 26% or more = 0 points</li> </ul> </li> <li>3. Increases in Total Income for Adult System Stayers                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 19% or more = 3 points</li> <li>• 10% – 18% = 2 points</li> <li>• 6% – 9% = 1 point</li> <li>• 0% – 5% = 0 points</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. Number and percentage of persons entering the projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 0% – 5% = 3 points</li> <li>• 6% – 10% = 2 points</li> <li>• 11% – 15% = 1 point</li> <li>• 16% or more = 0 points</li> </ul> </li> <li>5. Percentage of exits to or retention of Permanent Housing                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 86% or more = 3 points</li> <li>• 66% – 85% = 2 points</li> <li>• 50% – 65% = 1 point</li> <li>• 0% – 49% = 0 points</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	15	
Cost Effectiveness	Projects will be evaluated based on the amount and source of matching funds (5 points), utilization of previous CoC awards (5 points), and applicant’s past contributions toward CoC progress on <b>improving system performance</b> (5 points)	15	

HUD Strategic Goals	<p>Number of Goals and Objectives from HUD's Strategic Plan the application furthers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strategic Goal 1: Support Underserved Communities = 3 points</li> <li>• Strategic Goal 2: Ensure Access to and Increase the Production of Affordable Housing = 3 points</li> <li>• Strategic Goal 3: Promote Homeownership = 2 points</li> <li>• Strategic Goal 4: Advance Sustainable Communities = 2 points</li> </ul>	10	
MCHSCoC Participation	<p><i>Applicant's organization participates in MCHSCoC governance (score all that apply):</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Holds a seat on the MCHSCoC Board = 2 pts</li> <li>• Participates in at least one committee = 2 pts</li> <li>• Regularly attends and participates in membership meetings = 2 pts</li> <li>• Applicant does not have regular attendance or participation in MCHSCoC governance = 0 pts</li> </ul> <p><i>Applicant's organization has, in the last year, participated in activities to design, develop or evaluate the local system (score all that apply):</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Participates in planning meetings for system design = 2 pts</li> <li>• Participates in housing navigation or case conference meetings = 2 pts</li> </ul>	10	
HMIS Data Quality & Timeliness	<p>Applicants will be evaluated based upon the project HMIS or Comparable Database Data Quality and Timeliness from the most recent CoC APR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data Quality (5 points possible)</li> <li>• Data Timeliness (5 points possible)</li> </ul>	10	
Coordinated Entry System	<p>Applicants will be evaluated based on the project Coordinated Entry System (CES) participation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project selects participants from the Coordinated Entry System (CES) or completes CES screenings with project participants = 10 points</li> <li>• Project does not select participants from the CES and does not complete CES screenings with participants = 0 points</li> </ul>	10	
<b>Total Points</b>		<b>100</b>	